

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

CAMERON JOHN WAGENIUS,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:24-cr-00232-LK

ORDER CONTINUING
SENTENCING

This matter comes before the Court on the parties' Stipulated Motion to Continue Sentencing. Dkt. No. 42. They seek to continue Defendant Cameron John Wagenius' sentencing from September 10, 2025, Dkt. No. 40, to January 7, 2026, Dkt. No. 42 at 1.

On March 5, 2025, Mr. Wagenius pleaded guilty to Unlawful Transfer of Confidential Phone Records Information (Online Forum) and Unlawful Transfer of Confidential Phone Records Information (Online Communications Platform). Dkt. No. 36 at 1; *see also* Dkt. No. 1 at 1–2 (Indictment). The Court accepted his plea of guilty. Dkt. No. 38. The parties seek to continue the sentencing to January 7, 2026 because Mr. Wagenius recently pleaded guilty to additional charges

1 in a related case. Dkt. No. 42 at 2. Specifically, he pleaded guilty to (1) Wire Fraud Conspiracy,
2 in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349; (2) Extortion in Relation to Computer
3 Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1030(a)(7)(B); and (3) Aggravated
4 Identity Theft, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1028A(a)(1) and 2. *Id.*; *see*
5 *also United States v. Wagenius*, 2:25-cr-00142-LK at Dkt. Nos. 1, 6, 8. The parties filed a notice
6 of related cases, Dkt. No. 41, and both cases are now before this Court, 2:25-cr-00142-LK at July
7 17, 2025 Docket Entry.

8 The parties now request that sentencing in both cases be continued to January 7, 2026, “so
9 that they have sufficient time to prepare for sentencing.” Dkt. No. 42 at 2. The parties aver that the
10 requested continuance will ensure that they “have adequate time to gather and provide Probation
11 and the Court with all relevant information about these related cases, thus promoting judicial
12 economy and an orderly disposition of these matters.” *Id.*

13 Although the Court generally “must impose sentence without unnecessary delay,” it “may,
14 for good cause,” deviate from the time limits prescribed by the Federal Rules of Criminal
15 Procedure. *See* Fed. R. Crim. P. 32(b); *accord United States v. Firestack-Harvey*, No. 13-CR-
16 0024-TOR, 2015 WL 3533222, at *3 (E.D. Wash. June 4, 2015) (staying sentencing to allow
17 parties adequate time to prepare). And this District’s Local Criminal Rules expressly contemplate
18 a sentencing continuance “based on the need for more time.” LCrR 32(i)(1)(B). Here, the parties
19 have demonstrated good cause for the continuance so that they have sufficient time to prepare for
20 the consolidated sentencing hearing and to gather and provide Probation and the Court with all
21 relevant information. The Court finds that the interests of the public and Mr. Wagenius in any
22 speedier sentencing in this case are outweighed by the ends of justice.

23 //

24 //

1 Finding good cause, the Court GRANTS the motion to continue, Dkt. No. 42, and
2 CONTINUES sentencing to January 7, 2026 at 10:00 a.m.

3 Dated this 31st day of July, 2025.

4 

5

Lauren King
6 United States District Judge
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24